

Table 3. Benchmark Performance Standards

These Benchmark Performance Standards Are Used to Evaluate and Score Performance against the Weighted Performance Elements. This Sheet Must Be Used in Conjunction with Benchmark Job Description and Performance Objectives.

GENERIC LEVELS OF ACHIEVEMENT AND CORRESPONDING PERFORMANCE STANDARDS	ASSIGNED ELEMENT WEIGHT AND SCORE										N U M E R I C A L R A T I N G S C O R E
	50	45	40	35	30	25	20	15	10	5	
<p>100% Performance elements were attained demonstrating exceptional initiative, versatility, originality, and creativity. This individual demonstrates the ability to grasp, understand, organize, and convey complex issues to others and carry the job assignment to successful completion with minimum direct supervision. Performance elements were effectively achieved utilizing cooperation, responsiveness, conflict avoidance, or conflict resolution. Written and oral communications were appropriately demonstrated effectively and efficiently. Performance elements were achieved with demonstrated leadership, integrity, competency, commitment, candor, and sense of duty.</p>	49	44	39	34	29						
	48					24					
	47	43	38		28		19				
	46	42		33				14			
	45	41		32							
	44		36		27		18			9	
	43	40		31			22				
	42		35								
	41	39		30	26						
	40	38	34				17				
	39	37		29	25	21					
	38	36		28	24	20	16	12	8	4	
	37	35		27		23					
	36	34		26		19					
			30				15				
		33		26	22			11			
			29				18				
			25								
<p>70% Performance elements were attained effectively and efficiently with consistently high quality and quantity of work. This individual has demonstrated the ability to complete the job assignments in an efficient, orderly sequence that culminated in results that were timely, correct, thorough, and cost-effective. Performance elements were attained with consistently above average quality and reliability while effectively utilizing accepted procedures and resolving problems with skill and resourcefulness. Performance elements were attained with consistently productive cooperative efforts and with clear, precise, and convincing written and oral communication.</p>	35		28		21		14		7		
	34	31		24			17				
	33	30		23	20			10			
	32		26				13				
	31	29		22		16					
	30	28	25		19						
	29	27	24	21	18	15	12	9	6	3	
	28		23								
	27	26		20	17	14					
	26		22				11				
		25									
		24		19	16						
			21								
			18				13				
<p>50% Performance elements were accomplished, were mostly reliable, and delivered without unacceptable delays. Procedures were minimally correct and problems were dealt with satisfactorily. Attained performance elements, using work methodology that demonstrated a reasonable degree of cooperation with others with clear and concise written and oral communications.</p>	25		20		15		10		5		
<p>UNSATISFACTORY. Performance elements were not successfully completed because of failure in quality, quantity, completeness, responsiveness, or timeliness of work. Performance elements products were deficient because they were contrary to direction or guidelines; did not meet minimum specifications; were inconsistent with organizational procedures; were significantly flawed or substandard in quality; demonstrated insufficient technical knowledge or skill; were incomplete; were unacceptably late; lacked essential cooperative involvement or support; or problems that arose during performance of performance elements activities were not satisfactorily resolved.</p>	24	22	19	17	14	12	9	7	4	2	